HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR.BILAL NAZKI

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Appeal No.1768 of 2005

Dated: 26th September 2005

Between:

A. Suri Babu … Appellant

And

The Chairman, University Grants Commission,

New Delhi & four others. … Respondents

Oral Judgment : (per Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice)

…

Petitioner has failed in the writ petition, therefore, this appeal. His case was that the fourth respondent in the writ petition had improperly evaluated the papers and made a wrong selection, and petitioner has made a representation on 13-4-2005, which has not been considered. It appears that there was one vacancy for awarding teacher fellowship under the faculty improvement programme. The petitioner competed with others, but another candidate was selected. Without challenging his selection, he wanted some representation to be decided on the ground that his paper was wrongly evaluated, without laying down any basis that there was wrong evaluation. The learned Judge was right in dismissing the petition. If the petitioner was aggrieved of selection, then, he should have challenged the selection itself. Hence, the appeal is dismissed.

_____

(Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

_____

(R. Subhash Reddy, J)

26th September 2005

svs